IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ISAAC BROOKS : CIVIL ACTION

2715 Avenue B. Levittown, PA 19056

Plaintiff, : DOCKET NO.:

V.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY HEALTH
SYSTEM, INC. d/b/a TEMPLE HEALTH
SYSTEM

SYSTEM
3401 N. Broad Street
Philadelphia, PA 19140
and

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL 3401 N. Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19140

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Isaac Brooks (*hereinafter* referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Plaintiff against Temple University Health System, Inc. d/b/a Temple Health System and Temple University Hospital (*hereinafter* referred to as "Defendants") for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII" - 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d *et. seq.*) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). Plaintiff asserts, *inter alia*, that he was discriminated and retaliated against and unlawfully terminated by Defendants.

¹ Plaintiff's claims under the PHRA are referenced herein for notice purposes. He is required to wait 1 full year before initiating a lawsuit from date of dual-filing with the EEOC. Plaintiff must however file his lawsuit in advance of same because of the date of issuance of his federal right-to-sue-letter under Title VII. Plaintiff's PHRA claims however will mirror identically his federal claims under Title VII.

As a direct consequence of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.
- 3. This Court may properly assert personal jurisdiction over Defendants because their contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945), and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1392(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendants are deemed to reside where it is subjected to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendants residents of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Plaintiff filed a Charge of discrimination and retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and also dual-filed said charge with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff has properly exhausted his administrative proceedings before initiating this action by timely filing his Charge with the EEOC, and by filing the instant lawsuit within 90 days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC.

PARTIES

6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 7. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 8. Temple University Health System, Inc. *d/b/a* Temple Health System (*hereinafter* "Defendant THS") manages a network of hospitals, clinics, and physicians, including Temple University Hospital. Defendant THS has an address as set forth in the above-caption.
- 9. Temple University Hospital (hereinafter "Defendant Hospital") is part of the Temple University Health System and operated by Defendant THS.
- 10. Upon information and belief, the Defendants were run as a joint operation and enterprise with employees moving from one entity to another, sharing facilities, with operations being conducted as if a single enterprise. Because of their interrelation of operations, common ownership or management, centralized control of labor relations, common ownership or financial controls, and other factors, Defendants are sufficiently interrelated and integrated in their activities, labor relations, ownership, and management that they made be treated as a single and/or joint employer for purposes of the instant action.
- 11. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants and/or employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 12. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 13. Plaintiff is an adult gay male.
 - 14. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants in or about mid-December 2019.
- 15. Plaintiff worked as a laborer in Defendants' Environmental Services (Housekeeping) Department.
 - 16. Plaintiff was qualified for his job and performed it well.

- 17. Plaintiff was primarily supervised by Frank Donato (Director of Environmental Services for Episcopal and Northeastern Campuses).
- 18. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff was subjected to extremely derogatory remarks and treatment regarding his sexual orientation and gender.
- 19. For example, but not intended to be an exhaustive list, Plaintiff was repeatedly called a "faggot" by his co-workers, referred to as "the gay guy" and subjected to other offensive comments about his sexual orientation and gender, including but not limited to:
 - a. "you like to be bent over on the floor, don't you?"
 - b. "are you a girl or a boy?"
 - c. "you're wearing such girly clothes"
 - d. "why are you wearing that girly perfume?"
 - e. you're trying to be a girl today, aren't you?"
- 20. In or about July of 2020, Plaintiff complained to Mr. Donato that he was being subjected to discrimination based on his sexual orientation, including being subjected to gay slurs and other gender-related mistreatment.
- 21. Plaintiff told Mr. Donato that he would be escalating the complaint to human resources.
- 22. However, Mr. Donato told Plaintiff not to do so and to allow him to look into the allegations.
- 23. Within days of Plaintiff's complaints of discrimination, he was abruptly suspended and accused of having been mean to a co-worker, which was totally untrue.
- 24. In response to being suspended, Plaintiff informed Defendants that he had not engaged in the alleged conduct and that he was the one who was being discriminated against and treated poorly.

- 25. Plaintiff was not paid during his suspension or reimbursed for the earnings he lost during the suspension.
 - 26. In or about late July 2020, Plaintiff returned to work from his suspension.
- 27. Over the course of the next two months (August through October time-frame), Plaintiff continued to be subjected to demeaning and offensive treatment because of his sexual orientation, including being spoken to rudely, called homophobic slurs, treated disparately compared to his non-gay colleagues and issued unwarranted discipline.
- 28. During this time, Plaintiff repeatedly expressed to Defendants' management that he was being treated poorly because he is gay, called gay slurs, that he should not have been suspended and wanted a clear record and that he wanted to be paid for the time he was suspended.
- 29. In or about late October 2020, shortly after Plaintiff expressed these additional complaints of discrimination, he was suspended again.
- 30. The suspension was unwarranted and Plaintiff was not paid during the suspension or ever scheduled or contacted to return to work thereafter.
- 31. Plaintiff repeatedly reached out to Defendants to determine when he could return to work but Defendants never put him back to work or otherwise contacted him.
- 32. As a result, Plaintiff's employment was involuntarily terminated and/or he was constructively discharged.
- 33. Plaintiff believes and avers that he was subjected to a hostile work environment and terminated because of his gender, sexual orientation and in retaliation for his complaints of harassment and discrimination.

COUNT I

Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII") ([1] Gender and Gender Stereotyping Discrimination; [2] Sexual Orientation Discrimination; [3]Hostile Work Environment (Gender and Sexual Orientation); and [4] Retaliation)

- 34. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 35. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, he was subjected to discrimination and a hostile work environment through disparate treatment and demeaning and/or derogatory treatment/comments because of his gender and sexual orientation (discussed *supra*).
 - 36. Defendants' conduct was ongoing and unwelcome to Plaintiff.
- 37. Defendants' discriminatory and harassing conduct of Plaintiff occurred repeatedly during at least the last 6 months of his employment.
- 38. Plaintiff complained about Defendants' discriminatory and harassing conduct but Defendants failed to remedy it and, as a result, it continued.
- 39. Plaintiff was then abruptly suspended in July 2020 and again in October 2020 and not paid during the suspensions.
 - 40. Thereafter, Plaintiff was terminated and/or constructively discharged.
- 41. Defendants' actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful discrimination, retaliation and a hostile work environment in violation of Title VII.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to promulgate and adhere to a policy prohibiting discrimination and retaliation in the future against any employee(s);
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's

illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses,

insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement and seniority;

C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an

amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful,

deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from

engaging in such misconduct in the future;

D. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just,

proper and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress, pain, suffering

and humiliation); and

E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable

attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

F. Plaintiff is to be given a jury trial as demanded in the caption of this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq.

Jeremy M. Cerutti, Esq.

3331 Street Rd.

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: April 19, 2021

7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ISAAC BROOKS

CIVIL ACTION

.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY HEALTH SYSTEM, INC., ET AL.

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address						
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com	***************************************					
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for						
4/19/2021 .		Plaintiff						
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)					
management cases.)		*	()					
commonly referred to	- Cases that do not fall into track as complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by						
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()					
c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.								
b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.								
(a) Habeas Corpus - Case) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							

(Clv. 660) 10/02

Case 2:21-cv-01803 Whed some the eastern district of pennsylvania

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 2715 Avenue B, Levittown, PA 19056							
Address of Defendant: 3401 N. Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19140							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business							
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:							
Case Number:							
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No X previously terminated action in this court?							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No X							
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?							
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X							
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.							
DATE: 4/19/2021 ARK2484 / 91538							
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)							
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)							
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 7. Products Liabil							
A. Federal Question Cases: □ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 5. Patent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 7. Civil Rights □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ 7. Products Liability □ 8. Products Liability - Asbestos □ 9. All other Diversity Cases							
A. Federal Question Cases: Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:							
A. Federal Question Cases: □ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 5. Patent □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 7. Civil Rights □ 8. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Potent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Labor-Labor-Management Relations □ 7. Products Liability □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 10. Social Security Review Cases							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts							
A. Federal Question Cases: Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Pederal Question Cases (Please specify):							
A. Federal Question Cases: Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability 9. Products Liability 9. Products Liability 9. Pro							

Case 2:21-cv-01803-WB_Document 1_Filed 04/19/21 Page 10 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FOR	RM.)	74, is required for the use of th	to Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS BROOKS, ISAAC (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS TEMPLE UNIVERSITY HEALTH SYSTEM, INC., ET AL. County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, Suite 128, Bensalem, PA	P.C.; 3331 Street Road	l, Two Greenwood		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif				
1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	•		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State				
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 Foreign Country				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY ' 310 Airplane ' 315 Airplane Product Liability ' 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ' 330 Federal Employers' Liability ' 340 Marine ' 345 Marine Product Liability ' 350 Motor Vehicle ' 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ' 360 Other Personal Injury ' 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER: 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe: 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions of Confinement	TY	EABOR 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	y 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act 375 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
X1 Original 1 2 Re	emoved from ate Court	Appellate Court	•				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of car	ilse.					
Violations of Title VII and the PA Human Relations Act. VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes 'No							
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 4/19/2021							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		The same of the sa					
RECEIPT# Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	

Print

Save As...

Reset